

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/684,868 10/06/2000 Zhaohui Shen 00-255 1496.00039 2832 24319 03/12/2004 EXAMINER 7590 LSI LOGIC CORPORATION DINH, PAUL 1621 BARBER LANE ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER MS: D-106 LEGAL MILPITAS, CA 95035 2825

DATE MAILED: 03/12/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advi	isory A	<i>\ction</i>
------	---------	----------------------

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/684,868	SHEN ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Paul Dinh	2825	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 06 February 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a

final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may <u>only</u> be either: (1) a timely filed amendme condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	nt which places the application in a timely filed Request for Continued
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b))]
 a)	g date of the final rejection. OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the fearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	nt of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under y set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dism	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:	
(a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or se	arch (see NOTE below);
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);	,
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal be issues for appeal; and/or	y materially reducing or simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding numb	per of finally rejected claims.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	in a separate, timely filed amendment
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been application in condition for allowance because:	n considered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SC raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	DLELY to issues which were newly
7.⊠ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a)⊠ will not be entere explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provide	
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	
Claim(s) allowed:	
Claim(s) objected to:	
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,4-9 and 11-33</u> .	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapprov	ed by the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)
10. ☑ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>	Mulsbul
	MATTHEW SMITH
	SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

Continuation of 10. Other:

- The amendment raises new issues that requires further consideration and/or search, i.e., first, second and third modes and the
 deletion of the limitation "or" in claims 1 and claim 26. Besides, first, second and third modes find no clear support in the
 specification.
- 2. Applicant improperly adresses claims 2-3, 10 in the remarks pages 2, 11; note that claims 2-3, 10 have been cancelled by the applicant amendment filed on 9/29/03.
- 3. The applicant improperly addresses claim 26 as a dependent claim in remarks page 11, line 18. Note that claim 26 is an independent claim, not a dependent claim.
- 4. After fully checking the prior art of record Killian (USP 6477683), the examiner finds that Killian teaches every elements as recited in the claims and the examiner has specifically detailed and specifically pointed out in the final office action, see the following examples and refer to the final office action if needed since the following examples are aleady cited in the final office action.
- i.e., "logic portion includes one or more interfaces" (processore interface (70 of fig 2), graphic user interface (fig 3-4), instruction set simulator ISS 126 provides several interfaces (see col 31 lines 31-39), bus interface in fig 1-3, 5-15, memory interface in fig 9) for error detection/fix/correction or verifying fixes.
- i.e., "a debuging/fix circuit configured to detect error in a logic portion through said one or more interface (the on-chip debug module 92 in fig 2)

just a few example mentioned.

Paul Dinh Patent Examiner Art Unit 2825 Phone 571-272-1890

MATTHEW SMITH
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800